

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,112	04/28/2005	Uwe Meisenburg	PAT-01092	4789
77224 Mary E. Golot	7590 04/23/200	EXAMINER		
Cantor Colburn LLP			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
201 W. Big Be Suite 1101	aver Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 4808	4	1621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			0.4122.42000	TT FOUR ONTO

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MARJORIE.ELLIS@BASF.COM Mgolota@CantorColburn.com usptopatmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,112	MEISENBURG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sudhakar Katakam	1621	

	Sudhakar Katakam	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelli non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5.7 and 13-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/Peter G O'Sullivan/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1621					
	,						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in the amended claims, the group X is a substituted or unsubstituted mono or divalent organic radical, such as cycloalkyl group is anticipated by the cited prior art.

Also, the core structure of the compound is very well established by Hung et al. One skilled person in the ant would be motivated to modify the known groups on the core molety with a reasonable expectation of success. Furthermore a person of order yealth as good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense.

Applicants are invited to provide a showing which is commensurate in scope with the claimed invention that clearly demonstrate that the claimed compounds result in some unexpected property over the prior art.